

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/362,022	07/27/1999	ROBERT J. MEYER	D/96602Q1	6313	
7	590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHN E BECK XEROX CORPORATION XEROX SQUARE 20A			ROGERS, SCOTT A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROCHESTER, NY 14644			2626		
,		DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	о. А	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/362,022	M	MEYER ET AL.				
		Examiner	A	art Unit				
		Scott A. Roger		626	•			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on the co	er sheet with the cor	respondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statustic to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, he cation. days, a reply within the statutory ory period will apply and will expl, by statute, cause the application.	owever, may a reply be timely minimum of thirty (30) days wi ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the on to become ABANDONED (3	filed II be considered timeling date of this constitution of the c	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>14 March 2005</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	Pa) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□	<u>'</u>							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	<u>-</u>	- · · · · · ·					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been recuments have been recthe priority documents I Bureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been received i .2(a)).	No	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PT					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Pater Other:		-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed 14 March 2005 has been entered and fully considered, but upon further review, the amendment regrettably does not place the application in condition for allowance as previously indicated by the examiner. A non-final rejection is set forth below to explain this conclusion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitsuse (US 5532828).

Referring to claim 1:

Mitsuse discloses an image forming apparatus and method for forming a halftone including a plurality of pixels or dots and substituting auxiliary pixels for original pixels of the halftone to improve edge displacement (see col. 5, line 47 to col. 6, line 19).

Referring to claim 2:

In Mitsuse, adding dots correspond to the black auxiliary pixels.

Application/Control Number: 09/362,022

Art Unit: 2626

Referring to claim 3:

In Mitsuse, deleting dots correspond to the white auxiliary pixels.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsuse as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of well known prior art.

Referring to claims 4-8 and 10-12:

While Mitsuse does not disclose clustered or compact, dispersed or spiral, or stochastic type halftone cells, such types of halftone cells or halftone dot patterns are old and well known in the prior art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided such well known types of halftone cell dot patterns in Mitsuse in order to improve halftone image reproduction by adapting to characteristics of the original image in the selection and use of these well known dot patterns, each pattern having an associated spatial characteristics that is well suited for the halftone image forming different original image characteristics.

Application/Control Number: 09/362,022 Page 4

Art Unit: 2626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A Rogers whose telephone number is 571-272-7467. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday & Wednesday 6:00am-6:00pm and Tuesday & Thursday 6:00am-2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached at 571-272-7471.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC2600 Customer Service at 571-272-2600. Official correspondence by facsimile should be sent to 703-872-9306. The USPTO contact Center phone numbers are 800-PTO-9199.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCOTT ROGERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER